Serial No.: 10/790,604 Filed: March 1, 2004

Page 8

REMARKS

Applicants appreciate the detailed examination evidenced by the Office Action mailed June 12, 2006 (hereinafter "Office Action"). Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection of independent Claim 1 as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,616,968 to Fujii et al. (hereinafter "Fujii"), as Fujii does not disclose or suggest "a plurality of load segment indicators...operative to provide an indication of a loading of the associated load segment output." Applicants also respectfully traverse the rejections of independent Claims 17, 26, and 35 as unpatentable over Fujii in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,043,543 to Ewing (hereinafter "Ewing") in further view of U.S. Patent No. 4,884,809 to Rowan (hereinafter "Rowan"), as the combination does not disclose or suggest several of the recitations of these claims. Applicants further traverse the rejections of the dependent claims. Reasons supporting patentability of the claims are discussed in detail below.

Independent Claim 1 is Patentable

Independent Claim 1 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102 as being anticipated by Fujii. Office Action, page 2. In the rejection of Claim 1, the Office Action states "Fujii teaches a plurality of segment loading indicators configured to be electrically coupled to respective load segment outputs at a power supply." Office Action, page 2. Applicants respectfully disagree. Fujii describes an expandable power supply device that combines multiple discrete, interconnectable power supply modules based on load requirements. In contrast with load segment outputs, however, the outputs of the discrete power supply devices 2 are connected in parallel. Fujii, col. 3, lines 24-26. In this manner, a combination of the discrete power supply devices 2 effectively creates a common output power bus that does not include distinguishable load segments. *See, e.g.*, Fujii, FIG 1(b), reference no. 18. Specifically, Fujii states that "the AC output terminal 9 for AC output is connected to terminal sets 18" and that "[e]ach terminal set 18 is connected to the connector for AC output on the adjacent power supply device(s)." Column 4, lines 19-22.

Applicants submit that, in the absence of distinguishable load segments, Fujii cannot disclose or suggest segment loading indicators. Instead, Fujii describes that "[w]hen several devices are connected together, the length of the wiring path may cause the value of the current flowing in one device 2 to differ from that in the next so that the luminous element 19

Serial No.: 10/790,604 Filed: March 1, 2004

Page 9

of one device lights up to indicate an overload even though the indicators on other devices are off." Fujii, col. 10, lines 50-55. Fujii describes using a current transformer in a master control unit to coordinate the luminous elements in all of the devices to operate in unison regarding an overload condition. Fujii, col. 10, lines 59-67. In this manner, the luminous elements 19 described in Fujii operate to indicate an overload of the unit that includes multiple discrete power supply devices connected in parallel. Thus, Fujii does not disclose or suggest "a plurality of segment loading indicators" as recited in Claim 1. Accordingly, Fujii does not disclose or suggest the recitations of Claim 1 and, for at least these reasons, Applicants submit that Claim 1 is patentable over Fujii.

Independent Claims 17, 26, and 35 are patentable

Independent Claims 17, 26, and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as unpatentable over Fujii in view Ewing in further view of Rowan. In rejecting Claims 17, 26 and 35, the Office Action states "Fujii teaches a power supply module connectably connected to from an uninterruptible power unit supplying a connector to supply individual loads." Office Action, page 4. This general statement regarding Fujii fails to provide any indication as to which of the recitations of Applicants' claims are allegedly described in Fujii. Further, the rejection does not cite any specific portions of Fujii in support of the rejection. Applicants respectfully submit that if the Office Action relies on the mischaracterization of Fujii as discussed above regarding Claim 1, the rejections based on the Fujii/Ewing/Rowan combination suffer from at least the deficiencies discussed above.

Regarding Ewing, the Office Action states "Ewing teaches an electrical power distribution plug strip comprising a long thin plug strip body with several power outlet plugs distributed along the length of one face." Office Action, page 4. Applicants respectfully submit that this statement of rejection, like the statement above regarding Fujii, lacks specific reference to any recitations in Applicants' claims and fails to cite relevant portions of Ewing in support of the rejection. The Office Action makes a similarly general statement regarding the applicability of Rowan in the rejection of Claims 17, 26, and 35. Applicants respectfully submit that the general statements of rejection are insufficient, constitute an improper rejection, and should be withdrawn for at least these reasons. If, however, the Examiner maintains the rejections of Claims 17, 26, and 35 based on a Fujii/Ewing/Rowan

Serial No.: 10/790,604 Filed: March 1, 2004

Page 10

combination, Applicants respectfully request specific indication where in the references allegedly teach or suggest the specific recitations of Applicants' claims and that such rejection not be made final to afford Applicants adequate opportunity to respond. Applicants respectfully submit that the Office Action also fails to indicate or otherwise address any motivation to combine the references.

Moreover, in grouping the claims, the Office Action fails to recognize that the independent Claims 17, 26, and 35 include distinct recitations. For example, independent Claim 17 recites "a user interface positioned at the first panel of the housing...and...a loading indicator coupled to the power output and operative to provide a visual indication at the second panel the housing" whereas independent Claim 35 recites "providing respective segment loading indications for respective load segment outputs of the power supply." The Office Action fails to address these different aspects and, for at least these additional reasons, Applicants submit the rejections of these claims are erroneous and should be withdrawn.

Further, regarding Fujii, Ewing and Rowan, the Office Action states that "[t]he above references do not teach a housing having first and second panels" and that "[i]t would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the housing to have a first and second panel mounted into a housing for this is merely a rearrangement of parts." Office Action, page 4. This allegation fails to recognize the functional structures that Applicants recite in conjunction with the first and second panels. For example, independent Claim 17 recites "a power output at the second panel" and "a loading indicator coupled to the power output and operative to provide a visual indication at the second panel of the housing of a loading of the power output." The UPS of independent Claim 17 also includes " a user interface positioned at the first panel of the housing and operatively associated with the uninterruptible power supply circuitry." Thus, the first and second panels identify relative locations of functional structures of some embodiments and are not "merely a rearrangement of parts" as alleged by the Office Action. Accordingly, the rejections under Fujii in view of Ewing in further view of Rowan is improper and should be withdrawn. For at least these additional reasons, Applicants respectfully submit that independent Claims 17, 26 and 35 are patentable over the Fujii in view of Ewing in further view of Rowan.

Serial No.: 10/790,604 Filed: March 1, 2004

Page 11

The dependent claims are patentable

Applicants submit that dependent Claims 2-16, 18-25, 27-34 and 36-37 are patentable at least by virtue of the patentability of various ones of independent Claims 1, 17, 26 and 35 from which they depend.

IDS

Applicants also note that the Office Action does not indicate consideration of Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) submitted July 12, 2005, a copy of which is attached, along with a copy of a return postcard indicating receipt of the IDS in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. Applicants respectfully request consideration of the references cited in the IDS and return of a copy of the initialed form PTO-1449 indicating such consideration.

Conclusion

As all of the claims are now in condition for allowance, Applicants respectfully request allowance of the claims and passing of the application to issue in due course. Applicants urge the Examiner to contact Applicants' undersigned representative at (919) 854-1400 to resolve any remaining formal issues.

Respectfully submitted,

Timothy J. Wall

Registration No. 50,743 Attorney for Applicant(s)

USPTO Customer No. 20792

Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec

Post Office Box 37428

Raleigh, North Carolina 27627 Telephone: 919/854-1400

Facsimile: 919/854-1401

CERTIFICATION OF ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted electronically to the U.S. Patent and Trademark

Office on August 23, 2006

Michele P. McMahan



PATENT

Attorney's Docket No. 9060-221

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re: Robert W. Johnson, Jr. et al.

Confirmation No.: 9585 Group Art Unit: 2836

Serial No.: 10/790,604 Filed: March 1, 2004

For: Power Sup

Power Supply Loading Indicators and Methods

Date: July 12, 2005

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT FOR PARTIAL INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Sir:

Attached is a Supplemental Form PTO-1449 listing documents cited in the International Search Report for the corresponding International Application Number PCT/US2005/005633. Each document listed on the attached PTO-1449 was first cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of this Statement. A copy of any listed foreign patent document and/or non-patent literature, including the Search Report, is enclosed. A copy of any listed U.S. patent and/or U.S. patent application publication is not provided herewith in accordance with the amendment by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office of requirements under 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(a)(2)(ii) effective October 21, 2004. In accordance with MPEP § 609, the submission of the copy of the Search Report should serve as satisfying the requirement of a concise explanation of the listed non-English language references.

It is requested that these documents be considered by the Examiner and officially made of record in accordance with the provisions of 37 C.F.R. §1.56 and Section 609 of the MPEP.

No fee is believed due; however, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency or credit any refund to Deposit Account No. 50-0220.

//ally Wellen

Registration No. 40,723

Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec, P.A. P. O. Box 37428
Raleigh, North Carolina 27627

Telephone: (919) 854-1400 Facsimile: (919) 854-1401 Customer No. 20792 Certificate of Mailing under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on July 12, 2005.

Sheern L. Donnelly

С	omplete if Known
Application Number	10/790,604
Filing Date	March 1, 2004
First Named Inventor	Robert W. Johnson, Jr.
Group Art Unit	2836
Examiner Name	TBA
Attorney Docket Number	9060-221
	Application Number Filing Date First Named Inventor Group Art Unit Examiner Name

			U.S. PATENTS A	ND PATENT PUBLICATIONS		
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.	U.S. Patent Document		Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited	Date of Publication of Cited	
		Number	Kind Code (if known)	- Document	Document MM-DD-YYYY	
		US-				
		US-				
		US-				
		US-				
		US-				
		US-				

	1111-1			FOREIGN PAT	ENT DOCUMENTS		
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.	Office	Foreign Patent Docu Number	Mind Code (if known)	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Date of Publication of Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY	Translation
	1.	wo	01/53846	A1	Firstrand Bank Limited	07-26-2001	1
	2.	SU	1 396 075	A1	Rylik Modest	05-15-1988	No
		 					
	1						

		OTHER NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published	T
	3.	Invitation to Pay Additional Fees and Partial International Search Report for International patent application no. PCT/US2005/005633 mailed on June 28, 2005	
			<u> </u>

Examiner Signature	Date Considered	

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.



Mail Stop Amendment RMM / St. 1450
Committee Amenda
Commissioner for Patents RMM / Std
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Sign Date: July 12,2005
Sir: Vi Doc. No. 90/12/2005
Kindly 1060-731
by placing your receipt of the accommunity liventor. Inventor: 1/1/190, 604
Sir: Kindly acknowledge receipt of the accompanying items listed below Application Transmittal and: Serial No. 19/790, 604 Inventor: Line 19/790, 604 Application Transmittal and: Specification pages No. of Clair Check s
Specification pages No. of Claims Stamp hereon and return mailing: Check \$
Declaration VIDS & participation of the second seco
Small r. Prolim: Prolim:
- 10/mai Draw 105/le Fee
Associate Power of Anorney Associate Power of Anorney Appeal
Other: Submittal of Priority Des
Other: Ist Class Mail Submittal of Priority Doc.
37.0
Respectfully submitted, MYERS BIGET
MYERS BIGEL SIBI EV
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC, P.A. Attorneys for Applicant
Milar Comment
Mail Stop Amendment RMM Sid Date: July 12,2005
Commissioner for Patents Doc No. 9060 - 221
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22212 1450 17-15-05 Aug : a Script No. \(\bullet \frac{\pi/790, (oot)}{} \)
Inventor: Johnson et al.
Sir: Kindly acknowledge receipt of the accompanying items listed below
by placing your receiving stamp hereon and return mailing:
Application Transmittal and:
Specification pages V IDS & PTO 1449 & 3 refs. No. of Claims Amend ment & Amend Transhipman.
Declaration & POA Preliminary Amendment
Assignment and Fee Issue Fee /
Small Entity Statement Brief JUL 1 4 2005
Format Drawings Steets Charitat & Briggish Doc
Associate Power of Attorney Submittal of Priority Doc.
Associate Power of Attorney Exp. Mail Other: YELLON Post Corol Associate Power of Attorney Submittal of Priority and P
Respectfully submitted,

Respectfully submitted, MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC, P.A. Attorneys for Applicant